Prepare

App. F. IX-4

192 6.-27

LB File No. 119-26

Previous References (LB)

Serial Nos. ~~1-16~~ 1, 18, 35, 41, 47, 50, 57, 374.

Later References

LB 64-27. 183

B 176. 26 881-7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for August.

SUBJECT

Entertainment of District and Taluk Boards Staff

Govt. Order No. 877-88 dated 15.8.27 sanctioning the revision of scale of pay of certain establishments under the Bellary District Board.

H.S. 10/11/27

40639

No. L.B. 191 25-26

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

Dated, Chickmagalur 8th January 1927.

From

H. V. Ramaswami, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law.,

Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No. L. 4285-6/L.B. 6-25-6 dated 12-12-1925 and No. L. 6129-30/L.B. 6-25-17 dated the 24th - March 1926 sanctioning the entertainment of an additional peon on Rs. 10/- and a clerk on Rs. 40/- respectively for the District Board office temporarily for a period of one year, I have the honour to state that the period sanctioned by Government expires by the end of this month and consequently the matter was placed before the - District Board at their meeting convened on the 6th December 1926 when it was resolved that the additional establishment now entertained may be continued for another year. The provision of Rs. 600/- required therefor has been sanctioned by Government while passing - their orders on the current year's budget of the District Board.

2. In this connection, I may also state that as a result of the abolition of Taluk Boards from 1-2-1927 the work of the District Board, which is already heavy for reasons already explained in this office letter No. L.B. 191/25-26 dated 21st December 1925 will - further increase considerably from the 1st of February 1927 and that therefore the continuance of the additional establishment is absolutely necessary pending sanction of an adequate staff in the revision of [illegible] establishment to be proposed by the District Board in pursuance of para 7 of Government Order No. L. 3059-124/L.B. 25-26-14 dated 12-11-1926.

Under

nder the above circumstances. I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the resolution of the Board, a copy of which is herewith submitted for information of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For President,

N.R. Kadur District Board.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Kadur District Board meeting convened on the 6th December 1926.

****

Subject No.:- 31.

Office memo dated 5-12-1926 for the continuance of the emporary Establishment sanctioned for the District Board Office for another year for reasons explained to the Board during last year while seeking their sanction for the present staff. The - provision of Rs. 600/- made in the current year's Budget for this has also been sanctioned by Government and the resolution of the Finance Committee thereon recommending the continuance of the - temporary staff for another year.

Resolution:- Passed.

Sd/- G.B. Heblikar,
President,
Kadur District Board.

" C O P Y "

For President,
Kadur District Board.

N.R.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Kadur District Board meeting convened on the 22nd January 1927.

Subject No.:- 6.

Read:- Extract of para 6 of Government Order No. L. 3059-124/L.B. dated 12th November 1926 and D.O.Letter No. L. 4463-9 dated 10th January 1927 requesting to state what minimum staff of the District Board would be sufficient for the efficient discharge of the duties devolving on the new District Board and the resolution of the Finance Committee thereon approving the revised proposals.

Resolution:- The proposals put forward by the Finance Committee are approved.

Sd/- G.B. Heblikar.
President,
Kadur District Board.

" C O P Y "

For President,
Kadur District Board.

Finance and Accounts Form

Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57. C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part 1, Chapter II of the

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FO

Name of Office to which the Proposit

Nature of Charge

Government orders sanctioning present establishment		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
		1	Head Clerk	57	4	77	69	1	Head Clerk	57	4	77
								1	Deputy Clerk	40		40
		1	Accountant	35		35	35	1	Accountant	35		35
		1	Do: -do-	30		30	30	1	Do: -do-	30		30
								1	Record-Keeper	30		30
		1	Clerk.	25		25	25	1	Clerk	25		25
								1	Attender	20		20
		1	Mestri.	15		15	15	1	Mestri	15		15
		4	Peons.	11/each.		11	44	5	Peons	11/- each		11
				Temporary Establishment								
		1	Clerk	40		40	40					
		1	Do	10		10	10					
		Total: —					268	Total:-				
				Conservancy and Sanitation								
								1	Sanitary Inspector	35	2	37
		1	Reserve Vaccinator	30		30	30	1	Reserve Vaccinator	30		

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and

inancial rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150-1192 &c.

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

on relates.... District Board Thadur

WD 718—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

Average Cost	Proposition: Permanent Increase per month Amount	Proposition: Permanent Decrease per month Amount	Proposition: Temporary Increase per month Period	Proposition: Temporary Increase per month Amount	Proposition: Temporary Decrease per month Period	Proposition: Temporary Decrease per month Amount	Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government: Department concerned Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department Remarks	Orders of Government: Financial Department Orders
69	—										
40	40										
35											
30											
30	30										
25											
20	20										
15											
55	11										
							Proposed by a clerk on No. 40/- sanctioned by Govt. temporarily a clerk on No. 40/- has been proposed to be made permanent & permanently. One Peon 3/- Rupees and one Sweeper 3/- Rupees have been proposed in lieu of Six Taluk Board Clerks and 6 Sweepers and one Peon on Rs 11/- in place of one Peon on No. 10/- sanctioned temporarily & for protection Govt. The abolition of establishment was proposed is absolutely necessary owing to the heavy work devolved on the Board at present and partly after the reconstitution due to the abolition of the Taluk Boards and the consequent increase of work in the Office. This is the last establishment that is necessary for the efficient administration of the duties devolved on the Board.			
10											
10											
19	101										
accination											
51	51 *						Proposed in lieu of Six Taluk Board Sanitary Inspectors which is abolished by Govt. their recent orders.				
30											
11	11										
02	72						One pervant to the Sanitary Inspector in lieu of Six peons now working under the Sanitary Inspectors				

Executive Engineer.
For President
Thadur Dist. Board

ld be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

No. L.B. 25 of 27 B-Two.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

43705

Dated, Chickmagalur 29th Jan 1927

From

G. B. Heblikar, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR



B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law.,

Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

G.O. 119-26-28

Sir,

4 Adverting to your D.O.Letter No. L. 4463-9 dated 10th January 1927 requesting to state what minimum staff of the District and Taluk Boards would be sufficient for the efficient discharge of the duties devolving on the new District Board, I have the honour to state that the subject was considered both by the Finance Committee on the 21st instant and the District Board on the 22nd idem when it was resolved that the proposals as made by the Finance Committee and embodied in the enclosed proposition statement be approved. The additional establishment proposed for office is that of a Record-keeper on Rs. 30/- and an Attendar on Rs. 20/- per mensem which find a place in the proposition statement. The entertainment of an additional staff consisting of a clerk on Rs. 40/- and a peon on Rs. 10/- was sanctioned by Government temporarily for a period of one year in their Order Nos. L. 4285-6 L.B. 6-25-6 dated 12-12-1925 and L. 6129-30/L.B. 6-25-17 dated 24th March 1926 and this has been recommended to be retained for another year. In the proposals now forwarded, instead of a peon of Rs. 10/- one on Rs. 11/- has been proposed to be entertained permanently along with a Deputy Clerk on Rs. 40/-.

5 The necessity of having a Reserve Sanitary Inspector, a Reserve Vaccinator and a peon was also urged by the members of ~~the~~ Both the Finance Committee and the District Board. The remarks -

remarks written in the proposition statement explain the reasons for the enhanced establishment, the extra expenditure being met out of the savings effected under Establishment charges consequent on the abolition of Taluk Boards from - 1st February 1927

2 Under the above circumstances. I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the proposals of the Board in the matter and intimated to this office at an early date.

3 A copy of the resolution of the Board on the subject is herein enclosed for favour of information of Government.

I have the honour to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

for President

N.R.

Cuddapah District Board.

4 As detailed memorandum regarding the Cuddapah Board, the scale of establishment sanctioned in the G.O. dated 28.12.1926 for the roads may be adopted, and any variation to be found necessary in it may be proposed thereafter in this G.O.

5 As details of additional staff proposed & attention will be invited to para 7 of the G.O. dated 12.11.1926 and the

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 119 of 1926

Serial No.

be requested to submit definite proposals with the selection of the New Dt Board.

10) A draft is put up for consideration.

BB 11/5/26

11) The President Asst Board Rly has sent proposals regarding the various new staff required for the Asst Rly.

12) The staff priced for the Katra Asst Rly under this Govt. No. 28/1/26 pages the establishment for the several Boards. Vide ~~the~~ Column 10 of Statement B attached to the said order.

13) There is no Rly Asst sanctioned for Asst under the new order. A temporary Asst is sanctioned on Rs 400/-. It is proposed that this permanent Asst be reverted to Rly Asst. This may perhaps be necessary but then the proposal to appoint an Accountant on Rs 350/- cannot be accepted. The Rly Asst should be one having Accounts qualifications by passing the Local Accounts higher test under the new order. There may be a clerk on Rs 350 under the order. This will

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Ls File No 3379 119 of 192 6

Serial No.

14) The addl land on Rs 30/- is proposed for road widening on the Bridge approach. That may be sanctioned in principle also an R Bender on Rs 20/- ~~Bender~~ instead of having 2 benders on Rs 35 there may be a vendor clerk on Rs 30 & Bender's place may be retained.

15) There is a temporary sanction on Rs 10/. Instead of this one permanent on Rs 11 is proposed. This may perhaps also be sanctioned, though it is also a variation from the ~~proper~~ sanctioned scale.

~~The President may be pleased to sanction as above & that the~~

16) In Sanitation & Vaccination, one Reserve Sand Boy & 1 Reserve Vaccinator are proposed. It is stated there were 6 sand boys in all the Talukas ~~Sanitation~~ This may perhaps also be approved.

If the President will be pleased to place the above suggestions before the G.M.C. If approved he will be formally accorded.

First Member

16) Draft for Govt 14 Rs over Bridge approach Road purpose 2/- per Bender money on Contract 2/-

8379 7.2

NOTE—Contn.Sheet

Serial No.

18/ A draft is put up with refce to para 11 supra

BS 21/2/27 16/2 20) Recm hrpo as mmd

Recd 14/2

BS 17/2

21) A typed & revised draft is put up.

8652 15/2

BS 16/2 22) Hon'ble Members

BS 15/2

I think that 3 clerks for the Smaller Dists & 4 Os for the larger will Suffice do, the rest shd be waste

28/ DE 16/2

29) RG 16/2

29) DM WS

35 Mot 5810 dt 10/12/27. Pres cent abd Kadur. States that the proposal to appoint an Accountant on Rs 35, cannot be accepted as unat. Govt have no objection for the Board retaining one Sany Inspector with a peon as a Reserve Sany Inspector

SECRETARIAT GENERAL & REVENUE 24 FEB 1927

41 Mem Bgt. Dist Bd Kadur LB 267 dt 27.2.27 - Refers to his No LB 267 dt 31.1.27 re minimum Staff required for the Kadur Distt Bd & States that the new Distt Bd - ratifies the arrangement proposed therein with the modification that the present Chief Sanl. Inspector may also be retained by the Bd.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

LS File No. 11 9 of 1926

Serial No.

Flag H

Subject in para 7 of pr. 11' 3059-124 of 12.11.26 the new Distt Bds as soon as they first met were required to consider what Estt they would employ for getting through the work of such Boards & in fo. No. L 5210-25 dated 31/1/27 proposals were invit for the retention of Sanr Inspectors under the new Distt Bds.

Flag G

~~In accordance with the~~ proposals were submitted to Govt in letter No LS 267 of 31/1/27 for abrogation of the strength of Estt in the Distt Bds Kadur. These proposa were considered & the Govt was informed in letter No L 5810/LS II, of 18.2.27 that it was necessary to restrict Estt Charges to the minimum absolutely necessary & that the possibi of reducing the number of clerks mig be considered with a view to

Flag W

the proposal to retain a Sanr Inspector with a peon & a Reserve Vaccinator a Distt Bd was also considered to be unobjectionable

The present Refce under disposal deals only with the question of Sanr Inspectors under the Distt Bds and does not deal with all the points dis... in this office letter of 18/2/27.

It is for consideration whether the DC

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 1 1c, of 192 6

Serial No.

Should not be requested to incorporate these proposals if necessary, with his reply to this office letter of 18.2.27 for final orders of Govt.

3) The present proposal to keep on the Chief Instr. Inspector under the Dist Bds is based on para 7 of Govt. No 5722 x 3-34/Sani/3-26-6 of 1/27 which gives the Bds the ~~discretion~~ option to keep on these "Chief Instr. Inspectors" on their Estts under Sec. 89 of the Dist Bds' Regn. which allows the Bds to entertain the Staff necessary for "the efficient execution of the duties assigned to the Bds"

10/3 K 4/3

3) The President may be asked to send Consolidated proposal with reference to their present proposal & the proposals contained in my Govt in their No. 5870 of 18/2/27

First Member

7/3 Mgr

9444/15

31) 10/3/27 R 16/3

Secy please

7/3 16/3

Draft Ltr 19/3 K

7/3 19/3

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-2
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. LC9 of 192 6

Serial No.	
47	L6854 of 28/8/27 to the Prest Dist Board calls for consolidated proposals regarding the minimum staff reqd for the Distt Bd for the efficient discharge of its duties
50	LB267 of 27/9/27 from the Prest Dist Board. In modification of his proposals made in 47/18 above, states that the following Estt is necessary 1) Hd Clerk 57-4-77 two Accountants @ Rs 40 each 1 clerk — on Rs 30/- 1 " on Rs 25/- 1 Record Keeper 25/- 1 Mistri 15/- 5 peons on Rs 11/- each. Subd 2nd The Prest was requested to ~~send~~ Consolidate his proposals regarding both the Office Estt & Sanit staff required for service under the Distt Bd & send it up to Govt for orders in this Office No L6832 of 28/8/27 & this has not been done. However the Sanit Inspectors required for service under the Distt Bd are :— 1 Sanit Inspector on 35-2-85 1 ~~Rascoor~~ Chief Sanit Inspector Rs 100-10-150 1 Reserve Vaccinator Rs 30 1 peon on Rs 11/-

Ref 7 - included in Reforms Staff

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01228—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 119 of 192 6

Serial No

35)
It is for orders whether the consolidated proposals should be received or whether the proposals as noted above may be sanctioned. 36) The proposals may perhaps be sanctioned

30/3/24 KG 24.

37) Please get consolidated proposals and

4/4

38)
submitted?

2nd 5/4 KS 7

39) Issue

DW 6/4

40)
Not issued; consolidated proposals received vide No L/S & P 678 6/4/27 from the WC Railway

A tabular statement showing the proposals as originally proposed & as subsequently revised is forwarded which reference is also invited to para 11 of the notes.

Submitted for orders

Sd 12/4 KG 14/4.

Subject with draft sanctioning the revised proposals of the Works Dept in the matter of the Estt required by them. The Dept has asked for the services of Mr Murtagh alone as Chief Sanitary Inspector under the Regn (Vide bulked file)

Sd 13/4

a.Sheet

42622
L9572

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Subd with reference to para 3 / above.
The consolidated proposals have been received & may be appd tentatively for one year with the direction that arrangements shd be made to dispense with the services of the Asst Surgeons elerk from next year. 23/7

788
23/7

Asst Secretary

I wish the Govt where these C.S.I. will continue even after a Health Officer is appointed for the Dist. Some Districts without Health Officers have no C.S.I.

26/7

By When will a Health Officer be appointed to the Dt? Please ascertain from Med Secy and note.

26/7

Subd Dy Secy. Cannot definitely say when a Health Officer will be appointed for the Kadur Distt.

The Chief Sanry Inspectors may be appointed by Distt Bds even though a Health Officer is appointed for the Distt. Vide Govt No G7223-4/Sanry 3-26-68

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192

Serial No.

7.1.27.

The continuance of the C.S.I for the Distt may perhaps be stood if a Medl officer is appointed, in view of the financial position of the Distt Bd which wants a subvention from Govt to maintain its roads & for the discharge of its ~~obligatory~~ functions.

Encl
27/7 Kosty

File subd to Govt Member with file re Ch. Sang hosp. Draft appd. May issue if budget provides for this ott. By Please verify MC
7/8

There is provision in the Draft Budget for 27-28. Under Secy has ordered that the draft may therefore issue

Encl
11/8

74 Ag 77-8 of 15/8/27 Govt Dr Kadur temp Sanction revision of scale of pay of Clerk ~~Distt~~ Estt under the Kadur Distt Bd

LEGAL & REVENUE DEPARTMENT
B
18 AUG. 1927
1878
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Establishment Sanctioned for the Dist. Board under the G.O. of December 1926. (Hy)	Establishment proposed by the District Board in the 1st instance. (Hay W)	Modified final proposals as now received. (Hay B/3)
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Hd.Clerk. 55-4-75	Hd. Clerk. 57-4-77	Hd.Clerk. 57-4-77
Clerk. ... 35	Dy. " ... 40	2 Accountants 40 each
" ... 30	Accountant. 35	1 clerk. 30
" ... 25	Dy. " ... 30	1 " 25
Mutchi. ... 15	Record keeper 30	Record keeper 25
Peons 4 (Rs.11 Each.)	Clerk. 25	1 mutchi 15
	Attender 20	5 peons on Rs. 11
	Mutchi. 15	Sanitary staff &c.,
	5 peons (11)	1 Reserve Vaccinator. .. 30
	Reserve Vaccinator 30	1 Ch.Sanitary Insptr.100-10-150
	1 Sanitary Insptr. 35-2-87	1 Sanitary Inspector.35-2-87
	1 peon. 11	1 peon 11.

Ed 16/4

L 5810/103 VI-26-35

To

The President,
District Board, Kadur District.

dt 18-2-27

S i r,

With reference to your letter No.L.B. 267 dated 31st January 1927, proposing -- certain variations in the existing establish ment of the Kadur District Board, I am -- directed to state as follows:-

There is no Deputy Clerk sanctioned for this District under the G.O.No.L.4074-90-Ml. 145-24-19 dated 21st/28th December 1926, but it is found that a temporary hand sanctioned on Rs40/- in the G.O. dated 24th March 1926, is proposed to be treated as permanent and appointed as Deputy Clerk. ~~Government~~ Govt. have no objection to the course proposed. But the proposal to appoint an Accountant on Rs.35/- cannot be accepted as the Deputy -- Clerk should under the order of December -- 1926 be one having accounts qualification by passing the local accounts higher examination. There may be a clerk instead on Rs. 35/-.

2. An additional hand on Rs.30/- per mensem is proposed for Record work consequen on the increased work that may be involved in future and also an attender on Rs.20/-. An attender has not been sanctioned for any other ~~Department~~ District. The proposal to appoint an Attender cannot therefore ~~of a clerk~~ be sanctioned. Instead ~~In charge of~~ of 2 hands on Rs.30/- per mensem one of them being -- used for ~~Boards~~ Heads work, one clerk on Rs.30/- and another on Rs.20/- for records work may

be

may be proposed.

There is a temporary peon sanctioned on Rs.10/-. ~~xxxxx~~ and one more peon on -- Rs.11/- is proposed. Government have no -- objection to sanction this though it is a variation from the scale already sanctioned. Government have also no objection for the Board retaining one Sanitary Inspector with a peon and a Reserve Vaccinator.

I am further to state that in view of the restricted finances of the Board, & the fact that a portion of the work that devolved on D.B.Bd will hereafter be attended to by P.W.D. & as necessary establishment charges of the Municipal Council & that it might be possible further to reduce the number of clerks -

I am to request you to be so good as to place the above proposals before the new District Board and forward their resolutions in the matter.

I have etc.,

15/2 Genl: Secry to Govt.

BB 14/2

K.M.R.1.

No L.B. 267 & one 26-27.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

Dated, Chickmagalur 26 Feb. 192 7.
27

From

G. B. Heblikar, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.

Genl: Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. L. 5210-25/L.B. 119-26-4, dated 31st January 1927 calling for proposals for the retention of Sanitary Inspectors under the newly constituted District Board, I have the honour to state that, as required in para 7 of Government Order No. L. 3059-124/L.B. 25-26-14 dated 12th November 1926, this question as well as the proposals forwarded to you with this office No. L.B. 267/26-27 dated 31-1-1927 in respect of the minimum staff required for the efficient working of the District Board were taken up together for consideration by the newly constituted Board at their first meeting held on the 16th instant when, after a careful scrutiny of the question, it was resolved that the proposals for revision of establishment approved on 22-1-1927 by the previous constitution, be ratified with the modification that, in view ~~of the opinion~~ of the option vested in the District Board in para 7 of Government Order No. 7223/34 Sany 3-26-6 dated 7-1-1927, the present Chief Sanitary Inspector Mr. D. Nursingh be also retained in addition to the only Sanitary Inspectors already proposed to be retained by the Board instead of employing two or more additional Sanitary Inspectors.

2. The members of the Board unanimously urged for the retention of Mr. Nursingh, in particular as he is doing splendid work during out-breaks of Plague and other epidemics. His services

for

for inoculation are specially indented upon by the Ryots on account of his popularity and his work has met with the universal approbation of the people in the District as well as of the District Board who have been making a mention of it in their - Administration Reports for three years past. Agreeing with the views of the Board, I recommend that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for retaining Mr. Narsingh. as Chief Sanitary Inspector of the District Board, the extra cost being met out of the savings effected under "D" Public Health, Safety and - convenience(1) Conservancy and Sanitation in the current year's Budget by the abolition of five other Taluk Sanitary Inspectors.

3. A copy of the resolution of the Board in the matter is herein enclosed for favour of information of Government.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President

Kadur District Board

Extract from the Proceedings of the Kadur District Board meeting convened on the 16th February 1927.

Subject No. 24.

Read:- (1) Para 6 of Government Order No. 3059-124 L.B. dated 12th November 1926 requesting to state what minimum staff of the District Board would be sufficient for the efficient discharge of the duties devolving on the new District Board.

(2) Proposals approved by the District Board at their meeting convened on 22-1-1927.

(3) Local and Legislative Secretary's letter No. 5210-25 L.B. 119-26-27 dated 31-1-1927 suggesting - that two or three Sanitary Inspectors may be retained by each of the District Boards.

(4) Office Note thereon.

Resolution:-

The resolution of the last Board is ratified with the modification that the present Chief Sanitary Inspector, Mr. Nursingh may also be retained.

Sd/- G. B. Heblikar,
President,
Kadur District Board.

" C O P Y "

G B Heblikar

President,
Kadur District Board.

N.R.

APP. F.·VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section 103

File No. 119-26

Serial No. 47

No. L6854

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Revenue Board

Sir,

Date of issue 28/3/1927

Signed by Mr. D. R. S. Iyer

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. L83 287 927, submitting proposals for the retention of Land Inspectors under the newly constituted Board, I am directed to invite reference to this Office No. L5870/1 S119-21-38918, calling for such information in regard to the variations proposed in the existing Board establishment and to request you to be so

over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Cost charges to the minor heads of Land Revenue should be noted in the margin of the relevant vouchers

WD 01265—G

10— 24-12-25

is to incorporate ~~these~~ the present
proposals with those required
to be furnished on this office letter
No L 5810 & 18/1/27 referred to above.

I have &c

W
15/2

for Secretary P. Works

16/2/27

No. L.B 267
26-27
Encl. Two.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

Dated, Chickmagalur 21-3- 1927.
25.

From

G. B. Heblikar, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR.

REVENUE 46562 29 MAR 1927 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Genl: Secretary to Government,
~~Local and Legislative Departments~~,
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No; L. 5810/L.B. 119-26-35 dated the 18th February 1927 suggesting certain modifications in the proposals made in regard to the revision of the scale of establishment proposed to be maintained by the District Board and in continuation of this office letter No. L.B. 267/26-27 dated the 27th February 1927, I have the honour to state that the suggestion contained in your letter under reply was placed before the District Board at its meeting convened on the 1st - March 1927 when it was resolved that there may be two accountants on Rs. 40/- each and one clerk on Rs. 25/- instead of a clerk on Rs. 35/- and another on Rs. 20/- for Records work as suggested. The net result of the proposal as now formulated by the Board will be that the expenditure will be less by Rs. 20/- per mensem than that proposed by the previous Board but Rs. 10/- more than that suggested by Government in their letter under advertance.

A proposition statement showing the existing and the proposed scale of establishment as now modified by the District Board together with a copy of their resolution in the matter is herewith sent for favour of information of Government.

Extract from the Special Meeting Proceedings of the Kadur District Board convened on the 1st March 1927.

*****

Subject No.6.

Read:- Letter No. L. 5810/L.B. 119-26-35 dated 18th February 1927 from the General Secretary to Government, re; the retention of the Establishment of the Kadur District Board under the new District Board.

Read. In view of the fact that the Government are not in favour of the appointment of two officials for the - Account Branch the Board propose that there may be two - Accountants on Rs. 40/- and one clerk on Rs. 25/- instead of a clerk on Rs. 35/- and an attendar on Rs. 20/-. The net result of this proposal will be that the expenditure will be less by Rs. 20/- per mensem than that proposed by the Board and but Rs. 10/- more than that approved by Government.

Sd/- G. B. Heblikar,

President,

Kadur District Board.

" C O P Y "

President,

Kadur District Board.

N.R.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Vide Art. 57 of U. A. C., Vol. I, and rule 231 Part I. Chapter 11 of the

(Ml. 200)

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FO

Name of Office to which the Proposi

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge								
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale			
				Pay					Pay	
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
		1	Head Clerk	57	4	77	69	1	Head Clerk	57	4	77
								2	Accountants.	40 Each.		40
		1	Accountant	35	-	35	35	1	Clerk.	30	-	30
		1	Dy: "	30	-	30	30	1	"	25	-	25
		1	Clerk.	25	-	25	25	1	Record - Keeper.	25	-	25
		1	Mutchi.	15	-	15	15	1	Mutchi.	15	-	15
		4	Peons.	11 each.		11	44	5	Peons.	11 each.		11
			Temporary Establishment.									
		1	Clerk.	40	-	-	40					
		1.	Peon.	10	-	-	10					
			Office Establishment:--				268					
		1	Reserve Vaccinator	30		30	30		Conservancy and Sanitation;			
									Reserve Vaccinator	30		30
								1	Sanitary Inspector.	35	2	87
								1	Peon.	11		11
								1	Chief - Sanitary Inspector.	100	1(	15(

shall

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller [illegible]

...92, dated 17-2-1930.

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

relates ... District Board. Kadur District.

WD 718—GPB—5,000—8-10-21

Proposition								Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary				Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month						
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount			Remarks	Remarks	Orders
69										
80	80				35					
30										
25										
25	25									
15										
55	11									
					40					
					10					
9	116	35			50					

l Vaccination.:-

61		Proposed in lieu of Six Taluk Board Sanitary Inspectors whose ... consequent on the abolition of Taluk Boards from 1st February 1929.
11		
1/3	133 1/3	
1/3	205 1/3	

President,
Kadur District Board

by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure ...

No. L.B. 267/26-27

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
Kadur District Board,

Dated, Chickmagalur 6th April 1927

From

H. V. Ramaswami Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

President, District Board,
Kadur District,
CHICKMAGALUR

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Genl: Secretary to Government,
~~Local and Legislative Departments~~,
of Mysore, BANGALORE.

B 119-26—57

AR 8/4

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. L. 6854/L.B. 119-26-47 dated the 28th March 1927 requesting to incorporate the present proposals for the revision of establishment with those required to be furnished in your letter No. L. 5810 dated 18th February 1927, I have the honour to invite your kind reference to my letter No. L.B. 267/26-27 dated the 25th ultimo, forwarding a proposition statement showing the existing and the proposed scale of establishment as modified by the District Board at their meeting convened on the 1st March 1927, with a copy of the resolution in the matter.

4056/850

I, therefore, request that early orders may kindly be passed in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For President,

Kadur District Board.

RG 7/4.

N.R.

G.R.S. VI G 34]-

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....647.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

Date of issue.... 15-8-27

Signed by Mer Sh. V Iyengar

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section 119-26.

File No.

Serial No. 74

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— 1) fo. No L 407/4-90 & 28/11/2nd 145-24-19 passing orders revising the Scale of Estt under Local Fd

2) Letter No Ls 19 dd 9.1.27 from the Dist Bd Kadur requesting Sanction to the Continuance of the Estt of an addl peon & clerk for the Dist Bd on Rs 10 & 40 respectively referred to in Order Thereon No fo. No L 4285-86-25 dd 1/12/25 & fo. No L 61 29-30/26 dd 24.3.26

3) Letter No Ls 267/26-27 dd 31/1/27 from the Dist Bd Kadur

Submitting proposals for
sanctioning what minimum staff
is required by the Works Dte as called
for by GHQ in their letter No L4463
dt 10.1.27.

41 correspondence enclosing Divl
letter Nos B 287 & 6.4.27 from Divl
Hqrs of the Works Dte containing
a consolidated statement showing the
minimum staff. reqd. by the Dte

Encl B 3

Order No L877-8

The proposals of the Works Dte
contained in regard to the ~~Assistant~~
Staff required by them as detailed
in the margin are sanctioned provisionally
for one year from 1.7.27. Arrangements should be made to dispense with
services of a clerk from next year

Sd Illegible
for Secy
Govt of India

Sd Illegible
Dy Secy

Govt of India

X

Hd Clerk Rs 57-4-77
Accountants Rs 40 each
1 Clerk on Rs 30 a mo.
1 " Rs 25
1 Record keeper Rs 25
1 Watchman Rs 15-
5 Peons Rs 11

~~List of enclosures.~~

Sanct Staff
1 Reserve Vaccinator Rs 30 a mo.
1 Chief Sanit Inspector Rs 100-10-150
1 Sen Sanit Inspector Rs 35-2-45
1 Peon on Rs 11 each

Copied by DW 11-8-27

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,09—21-12-2